REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of this patent application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments, and the following remarks. Claims 4 and 5 are in the application. Claims 1-3 have been canceled. The specification has been amended. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner objected to the specification, stating that the Abstract was too long and that the description lacked headings.

Applicant has amended the description to add headings, and has added a new abstract, attached hereto.

The Examiner objected to the claims for some informalities. Applicant has canceled claims 1-3 and has added new claims 4 and 5. Claim 4 includes the elements of original claims 1 and 2, and claim 5 corresponds to original claim 3. Applicant has removed the reference numerals, started each claim with "A" and re-wrote some of the language to improve the grammar.

The Examiner rejected claim 1 under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by Helsing et al. The Examiner stated that claims 2

and 3 would be patentable if rewritten in independent form. As stated above, new claim 4 corresponds to original claims 1 and 2, and claim 5 corresponds to original claim 3, and depends from claim 4.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that claims 4 and 5 are patentable over the cited reference. Early allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Rolf-Gerhard FIEDLER

COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, New York 11576

Elizábeth C Richter, Reg. No. 35,103

Attorney for Applicant

ECR: cmm

(516) 365-9802

Enclosure: Abstract of the Disclosure

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on July 10, 2007.